



主辦機構 Organizers



香港職業治療學院
Hong Kong Institute of Occupational Therapy
中國康復醫學會
Chinese Association of Rehabilitation Medicine

承辦機構 Hosting Organization



廣東省工傷康復中心
Guangdong Provincial Work Injury Rehabilitation Center


14 to 17 November, 2008
"2008 International Occupational Therapy Conference"
Feedback survey analysis

There were total 225 delegates registered to join the conference (including speakers), the conference organizer received 92 no. of completed feedback questionnaires, the response rate is 40.9%.

The questionnaires were distributed in both Chinese and English, the questions and contents were the same.

Date of complete questionnaire


Date	No.
14 November, 2009	2
15 November, 2009	9
16 November, 2009	35
17 November, 2009	18



Delegate's information


Date of attendance

Date of attendance	No.
14 November, 2009	45
15 November, 2009	46
16 November, 2009	49
17 November, 2009	30
Not answer this question	41



1. Where did you come from?

Response	No.	100%
China (* Remarks)	55	59.8%
Hong Kong	26	28.3%
Taiwan	4	4.3%
Macau	2	2.2%
Australia	1	1.1%
U.K.	1	1.1%
U.S.A.	3	3.3%




* Remarks: China: Beijing (3), Chongqing Province (2), Jilin Province (6), Sichuan Province (12), Guangdong Province (9), Henan Province (2), Gansu Province (2), Yunnan Province (6), Hebei Province (1), Hunan Province (1), Shandong Province (1), Fujian Province (2), Province was not indicated (8)

2. What is your profession?

No. of respondent: 92

Response	No.	100%
Occupational therapist	49	53.3%
Physiotherapist	1	1.1%
Nurse	1	1.1%
Rehabilitation therapist	6	6.5%
Doctor (Rehabilitation)	13	14.1%
Teacher	1	1.1%
Other rehabilitation professional	2	2.2%
Student	6	6.5%
College/university instructor/professor	7	7.6%
Hospital/Organization administrator	1	1.1%
Doctor (Other specialty) (* Remarks)	5	5.4%




* Remarks: Doctor (Other specialty) - Orthopaedics & traumatology (1), Orthopaedics (2), Endocrinology (1), Specialty was not indicated (1)

3. What is the type of your working organization?

No. of respondent: 92

Response	No.	100%
Integrated hospital	38	41.3%
Rehabilitation hospital	17	18.5%
Community rehabilitation center	9	9.8%
School/College	6	6.5%
University	15	16.3%
Others (* Remarks)	7	7.6%



* Remarks: Others - Disabled Person's Federation (2), Government (1), Rehabilitation for autistic children (1), Occupational Health Company (1), N.G.O. (Children with special needs) (1), Paediatric (1)

Conference's organization & arrangement


4. Pre-conference organization

4.1 Enrollment & registration

Average marks: 4.44

No. of respondent: 89

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	50	56.2%
4 marks	28	31.5%
3 marks	11	12.4%
2 marks	0	0.0%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%




Continue from Page (2): "Conference's organization & arrangement" 4. Pre-conference organization

4.2 Conference news Average marks: 4.28

No. of respondent: 88


Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	42	47.7%
4 marks	31	35.2%
3 marks	14	15.9%
2 marks	0	0.0%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	1	1.1%



4.3 Conference registration fee Average marks: 4.21


No. of respondent: 84

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	37	44.0%
4 marks	29	34.5%
3 marks	17	20.2%
2 marks	1	1.2%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%



Pre-conference organization - Summary:

	Average
Enrollment & registration	4.44
Conference news	4.28
Conference registration fee	4.21




5. Conference arrangement

5.1 Registration arrangement Average marks: 4.33

No. of respondent: 85


Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	44	51.8%
4 marks	28	32.9%
3 marks	10	11.8%
2 marks	3	3.5%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%



5.2 Length of conference period Average marks: 4.28

No. of respondent: 89

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	35	39.3%
4 marks	45	50.6%
3 marks	8	9.0%
2 marks	1	1.1%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%




Continue from Page (2): "Conference's organization & arrangement" 5. Conference arrangement

5.3 Programme rundown Average marks: 4.38

No. of respondent: 88


Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	44	50.0%
4 marks	35	39.8%
3 marks	7	8.0%
2 marks	2	2.3%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%



5.4 Venue Average marks: 4.39

No. of respondent: 87


Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	45	51.7%
4 marks	32	36.8%
3 marks	9	10.3%
2 marks	1	1.1%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%



5.5 Audio and visual effect Average marks: 4.28

No. of respondent: 88


Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	40	45.5%
4 marks	35	39.8%
3 marks	12	13.6%
2 marks	0	0.0%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	1	1.1%



5.6 Conference proceedings Average marks: 4.22

No. of respondent: 87


Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	39	44.8%
4 marks	33	37.9%
3 marks	12	13.8%
2 marks	1	1.1%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	2	2.3%



5.7 Hospital visit Average marks: 4.37

No. of respondent: 62

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	30	48.4%
4 marks	26	41.9%
3 marks	5	8.1%
2 marks	1	1.6%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%




Continue from Page (2): "Conference's organization & arrangement" 5. Conference arrangement

5.8 Scholarship Average marks: 4.29


No. of respondent: 58

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	25	43.1%
4 marks	26	44.8%
3 marks	6	10.3%
2 marks	1	1.7%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	0	0.0%



Conference arrangement - Summary:

	Average
Registration arrangement	4.33
Length of conference period	4.28
Programme rundown	4.38
Venue	4.39
Audio and Visual effect	4.28
Conference proceedings	4.22
Hospital visit	4.37
Scholarship	4.29




Scientific Programme

6. Please choose at most 2 topics from the Plenary sessions which you interested most and was/were practical to you

- P1: Occupational therapy education in Mainland, Hong Kong and Taiwan – Development and future challenge
- P2: OT's contribution during and after disaster
- P3: New techniques and development in occupational therapy
- P4: Development of occupational therapy in the community

No. of respondent: 88

Chosen session	No.
P1	40
P2	34
P3	55
P4	34




7. Please choose at most 3 topics from the Symposium sessions which you interested most and was/were practical to you:

- I have not attended any symposium session
- A : Occupational therapy in neurological rehabilitation
- B : Occupational therapy for hand & foot surgery
- C : Occupational therapy in paediatric rehabilitation
- D : Occupational therapy education
- E : Community occupational therapy
- F : Vocational rehabilitation
- G : Experience sharing on rehabilitation & occupational therapy after Sichuan earthquake
- H : Sharing of experience by overseas occupational therapists working in Mainland China

No. of respondent: 88

Chosen session	No.
Not attend	1
A	41
B	26
C	32
D	29
E	34
F	20
G	29
H	12




8. Please choose at most 2 topics from the Symposium sessions "(A) Occupational therapy in neurological rehabilitation" which you interested most and was/were practical to you:

- I have not attended any symposium session of "(A) Occupational therapy in neurological rehabilitation"
- S1A : Assessment in Neuro-rehabilitation
- S2A : Management of hemiplegic upper limb
- S3A : Functional training in neuro-rehabilitation
- S4A : Cognitive-perceptual training in neuro-rehabilitation

No. of respondent: 84

Chosen session	No.
Not attend	24
S1A	20
S2A	30
S3A	23
S4A	25




9. Please choose 1 topic from the Symposium sessions “(B) Occupational therapy for hand & foot surgery” which you interested most and was/were practical to you:

I have not attended any symposium session of “(B) Occupational therapy for hand & foot surgery”
 S1B : Occupational therapy for hand & foot surgery (1)
 S2B : Occupational therapy for hand & foot surgery (2)
 S3B : Occupational therapy for hand & foot surgery (3)

No. of respondent: 82

Chosen session	No.
Not attend	46
S1B	20
S2B	14
S3B	7




10. Please choose at most 2 topics from the Symposium sessions “(C) Occupational therapy in paediatric rehabilitation” which you interested most and was/were practical to you:

I have not attended any symposium session of “(A) Occupational therapy in neurological rehabilitation”
 S1C : Occupational therapy functional training for children
 S2C : Community integration for children
 S3C : Sensory integrative therapy
 S4C : Rehabilitation in Paediatric

No. of respondent: 85

Chosen session	No.
Not attend	33
S1C	33
S2C	16
S3C	20
S4C	19




11. Free paper sessions

11.1 Appropriateness Average marks: 4.16

No. of respondent: 82

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	31	37.8%
4 marks	35	42.7%
3 marks	15	18.3%
2 marks	0	0.0%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	1	1.2%




Continue from Page (7): "Scientific Programme" 11. Free paper sessions

11.2 Academic level

Average marks: 4.16

No. of respondent: 82

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	34	41.5%
4 marks	30	36.6%
3 marks	16	19.5%
2 marks	1	1.2%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	1	1.2%




11.3 Presentation skills

Average marks: 3.98


No. of respondent: 82

Marks	No.	100%
5 marks (Very satisfactory)	27	32.9%
4 marks	32	39.0%
3 marks	18	22.0%
2 marks	4	4.9%
1 mark (Very unsatisfactory)	1	1.2%



Free paper sessions - Summary:

	Average
Appropriateness	4.16
Academic level	4.16
Presentation skills	3.98




12. Please choose at most 2 topics from the Post-conference workshops which you interested most and was/were practical to you:

- I have not attended any post-conference workshops
- W2 : Establishment of an occupational therapy unit for hand rehabilitation
 - W3 : Enhancing the independent living skills for children with autism
 - W4 : Swallowing assessment and training
 - W5 : Splintage for peripheral nerve injuries of hands
 - W6 : Seating system

No. of respondent: 82

Chosen session	No.
Not attend	36
W2	18
W3	21
W4	16
W5	17
W6	10



13. Have you joined the hospital visits?


I have not joined any hospital visit.

v1 : 15/11 - University City Hospital of Guangdong Provincial Hospital of Traditional Chinese Medicine, & The Traditional Chinese Medicine Affiliated Hospital of Sun Yat-sen University

v2 : 17/11 - Guangdong Provincial Work Injury Rehabilitation Center

No. of respondent: 84

Chosen session	No.
Not attend	50
V1	25
V2	12




Other opinions

14. Overall satisfaction level?

No. of respondent: 86

Response	No.	100%
Satisfactory	80	93.0%
No opinion	5	5.8%
Not satisfactory	1	1.2%



15. How and where did you know about this conference?

No. of respondent: 71

Opinions:

01. From colleagues.
02. E-mail.
03. 網絡。
04. 香港職業治療學院網站。
05. Invited to attend.
06. 單位提供。
07. 報到通知。
08. From my department manager.
09. Email.
10. Colleagues.
11. 吉林省慈善總會。
12. E-mail.
13. 中華慈善總會。
14. 收到通知資料（但有些晚）
15. 中華物理醫學康復網站、中國康復醫學會網站。
16. Email from OT friends.
17. 老師介紹。
18. 老師宣傳。
19. Email.
20. By E-mail & invitation.
21. 老師通知。

Continue from Page (9): "Other opinions" 15. How and where did you know about this conference?

22. 老師。
23. 中華慈善總會。
24. E-mail / Colleagues.
25. 網站。
26. Colleagues, circulation
27. 網上信息。
28. 網站。
29. 中華慈善總會。
30. 慈善總會通知。
31. 中華慈善總會通知。
32. 通知。
33. from HKOTA.
34. Hospital.
35. HKOTA.
36. 醫院通知。
37. Well-organized.
38. from professor's suggestion.
39. 接到邀請函。
40. I knew about this conference from my colleagues in our hospital.
41. My hospital give me the information.
42. Internet.
43. APOT.
44. Over internet.
45. From internet.
46. 通過香港職業治療學院、中華慈善總會。
47. From MOTA.
48. HKIOT & Leung Kwok-fai
49. 吉林省慈善總會。
50. e-mail, website.
51. 吉林省慈善總會通知。
52. 吉林省慈善總會。
53. From my advisor.
54. From the HKIOT website & through my supervisor.
55. Internet.
56. 從同仁中得知。
57. Through e-mail.
58. From first conference.
59. 吉林省慈善總會。
60. Invited / sponsor.
61. 傳真資料和電話。
62. 第二屆北京康復"國際論壇"參會會場發的通知。
63. 通過網絡通知。
64. 大會通知。


Continue from Page (10): "Other opinions" 15. How and where did you know about this conference?

- 65. 慈善會通知。
- 66. 香港職業治療學院。
- 67. 老師告知。
- 68. 通過香港職業治療學會。
- 69. 通過香港職業治療學院。
- 70. 通過香港職業治療學院。
- 71. 聯網。

16. Will you join such similar occupational therapy conference in the future?

No. of respondent: 87


Response	No.	100%
Yes	76	87.4%
No	1	1.1%
Depends	10	11.5%



17. Will you recommend your colleagues to join such similar occupational therapy conference in the future?

No. of respondent: 87

Response	No.	100%
Yes	80	92.0%
No	0	0.0%
Depends	7	8.0%



18. Other opinions:

No. of respondent: 37

Opinions:

- 01. A very good conference with fruitful sharings!
- 02. (1) 20 min presentation was too snort in general. I think in-deptn thinking process would not be stimulated under such constriant.
(2) Some of the presentations were not really constructive but ratner misieading. we might need to screen the application and tried to keep the standard of the conference.
- 03. (1) 醫院參觀與學習班同時進行，面臨兩難選擇。
(2) 增加对讲者评分，以使下一次给评分优秀的讲者多点时间。
(3) 會議已具很高適切性，但以後可否再進一步把一些泛泛而談的講座刪除。
- 04. (1) 希望大會後有關負責人將大會期間各位專家及學術報告者、專題學習班的有關資料上傳主網站。
(2) 作為一名治療師，又能更加注重了解治療技術方面的信息，望今後研討會能更多有關治療技術方法的專題。
- 05. Sometimes due to the very interesting topics, too many speakers in one session so felt a little rushed. But overall an excellent conference - very friendly & welcoming to foreign guests.
An inspiring conference. Meaningful.
- 06. 課程安排太急。
- 07. Thank you to the organisers.

Continue from Page (11): "Other opinions" 15. Other opinions:

08. (1) 在會議程序安排上能否讓學員有更多機會去參加我們感興趣的課題講座，而不是設立過多的分會場，而我們卻分身乏術。
(2) 講者的課件或資料能否更全面、詳盡。
(3) 能否多一些關於臨床慢性病所引發的公共心理衛生問題方面的研究及處理方法，因為在內地很多地區，學科的融合比較多，尤其在基層醫院及社區，我們不會把自己劃分的特別細（在學科上），因為我們必需幫助那些需要幫助的人。
非常感謝大會上那些專家的精彩講座，在學習知識的同時，也讓我們領略了各位專家及他們所代表的地區的人文精神。
09. (1) 不能聽到各會場的內容。
(2) 提問、交流時間太緊張。
(3) 實用內容過少（操作、訓練方法），交流不夠。
(4) 資料少（會議）。
(5) 通訊錄、聯繫方式沒有。
10. (1) 希望進一步創建豐富相應組織的網站，更多的進行交流。
(2) 希望將講者的PPT以及本次錄像、照片放在網上，大家來分享。
(3) 本次大會很成功，謝謝辛你們辛苦的付出，我們永遠記在心裡。
11. (1) 不斷規範與普及各專科的OT治療理論與操作。
(2) 在實踐中把所學知識融合貫通、不斷提高。
(3) OT學會不一定是吸收OT治療師，有興趣參加之醫生、心理治療師等也可吸納。
(4) 有些專題只是引用了書上和他人的做法，例如S1B.2。
12. 無。
13. 以後多開展類似培訓班。
14. 無。
15. 無。
16. It is a very good opportunity to gather different place experts to share & learn from each other. The development of OT in Mainland China still at beginning phase, this conference can stimulate better development of OT service in Mainland China. I also agree that Taiwan is good place to organize OT conference in coming year.
I very impressed by Mr. Leung Kwok Fai lecture on occupation life style re-design, OT contribute not just focus on independence which sometime miss-direct my mind, OT can contribute QoL which help our client to live happily, engages meaningful life.
17. 時間有限，不能所有議程都參加造成很大的遺憾，如果在議程安排上能夠多做些改動，可以讓每個參加會議的人盡可能多的參加其他課題的演講會更好！因為內地的康復並不像香港或者國外分得如此詳細、多了解、涉及各個領域都是很有必要的！知識是無價的，也是無盡的，更希望專家們可以跟我們一起分享，如果能夠較為全面的收集大會演講的資料並加以學習，我想更不失為一次學習交流的機會！真正達到知識共享！好東西應該一起分享！
18. - 對不起！發覺此Conference內，一部份講者的質數實在有問題
- 表達內容缺乏清楚解釋，內容 too shallow, not practical !
- 希望下次能有質量控制，或給某些有份量的講員多些時間演講。
但感謝大會能把中國康復發展作出詳細報告，特別是四川地震及其他國內外有經驗的專家分享。
提議需搜請有良好職業操守的演講者。
19. Recommend to add topics about "West meets East" in the conference.
20. No smoking in the building.
21. Combine local traveling.

Continue from Page (12): "Other opinions" 15. Other opinions:

22. (1) 請在以後的學術研討會中，多提一些對康復治療技術專業教育方面的一些建議或要求，即如何培養一個符合社會、康復要求的專業康復治療人材。
(2) 希望將此次研討會的內容、錄像、照片等全部發到網站上，以滿足不同層次人士查閱的要求！！！！
23. None.
24. None.
25. 會場有兩條大柱，阻了視線，音響有時太小。
26. Offer more papers for us, if possible. Thanks!
27. My opinion should not carry much weight -
This was a great experience - wonderful topics and presenters and participants. Thank you for the opportunity to participate!
28. Appreciate if HKIOT can invite more OT speakers from different countries for sharing, e.g. Australia, Singapore, Western Europe, etc.
29. (1) 和專家討論的時間過短。
(2) 內容很全面，但新的技術不多。
(3) 希望能再多一些適用性的技術來推廣。
(4) OT在天災人禍中已經起到很大的作用，對於這次四川的大地震，我們康復工作者又真的能做什麼？
30. 如果可以，想知道參會專家的E-mail，方便我們向專家學習。
31. Thank you!
32. (1) 和專家討論的時間過短。
(2) 學習的時間短，內容不是很全面。
33. Congratulations to the organizing committee again for an excellent conference with the right amount of international involvement as well as opportunities for local presentation & sharing. The inclusion of the participants from Taiwan also added an excellent perspective.
Congratulations on a job well done! Thanks for your dedication!
34. 請多關注內地醫院的OT發展，特別中醫醫院的OT發展。
35. 建議在黃會長能在深圳龍城醫院辦一次職業康復培訓班。聯繫人：深圳龍城醫院、安雲龍
電話：15818736911
36. 我來自東北，對粵語普通話聽得不是很清楚。下一期應多一些圖片或視頻、影像，更直接一些。有機會可否參觀一下香港自閉症兒童的康復過程，或廣州一些好的機構。
37. 通過多渠道宣傳，使更多的人知道這個會，以便有機會來參加會議。能在會議中安排一些環節以具體的case來示教。謝謝你們的努力，希望堅持辦下去，相信作業治療在內地一定會發展起來的，相信你們也相信我們，2008年我們的隊伍不是比2005年大多了嗎？